#48

## FILED

JUN 26 2009

Judge Jamie D. Happas

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

A Delaware Limited Liability Partnership 500 Campus Drive

Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047

(973) 360-1100

Attorneys for Defendants,

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON

PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.,

and ORTHO-McNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., now known as ORTHO-McNEIL-

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

ANGELA SNEED,

Plaintiff.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NUMBER MID-L-7565-07-MT

v. CIVIL ACTION

ORTHO-McNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON; JOHNSON & JOHNSON PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH and DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C., f/k/a R.W. JOHNSON PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE, JANE DOE DISTRIBUTORS (1-50); JILL DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-50); JACK DOE WHOLESALERS (1-50); JAKE DOE SELLERS (1-50); JOHN DOE MARKETERS (1-50); JOHN DOE MARKETERS (1-50); JOHN DOE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS (1-50); and JEAN DOE (1-50),

Defendants.

IN RE ORTHO EVRA® BIRTH CONTROL
PATCH LITIGATION
CASE CODE 275

**ORDER** 

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for Defendants Johnson & Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C., and Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc., now known as Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Defendants"), to dismiss the Complaint of Angela Sneed without prejudice for failure to provide a Plaintiff Fact Sheet and medical authorizations pursuant to Case

Management Order No. 2; and such dismissal without prejudice being authorized by  $\underline{R}$ . 4:23-5(a) for failure to provide discovery; the Court having considered the papers submitted; and the Court having heard the arguments of counsel, if any, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 26th day of June, 2009

**ORDERED** that Defendants' motion is hereby **GRANTED** and that Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 4:23-5(a); and it is further

Hon Jamie D. Happas, J.S.C.

unopposed.

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is

therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it

Unopposed

\_\_\_\_ Opposed